Filed 07/18/2007

Page 1 of 4

Case 4:07-cv-02646-SBA Document 22

1	Plaintiffs and Defendants, by their undersigned attorneys, have conferred and hereby
2	stipulate as follows:
3	1. Plaintiffs filed this action on May 18, 2007, in the District Court for the Northern District
4	California, and filed an administrative motion seeking a determination of whether this case was
5	related to other cases in the Northern District. See Docket Nos. 1 & 4.
6	2. On June 1, 2007, Defendants responded to Plaintiffs' motion to determine whether this
7	case was related to other cases in the Northern District, and filed a motion to transfer venue to the
8	Eastern District of California. See Docket Nos. 10 & 11. Defendants' motion to transfer was
9	noticed on the calendar of Magistrate Judge Spero for hearing on July 6, 2007.
10	3. On June 13, 2007, Plaintiffs declined to proceed before a magistrate judge, and on June
11	14, this case was transferred to the Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong. See Docket No. 16.
12	4. On June 15, 2007, the Court determined this case is not related to other cases. <u>See</u> Docke
13	No. 18.
14	5. On June 21, 2007, Defendants re-noticed their motion to transfer on Judge Armstrong's
15	calendar for September 11, 2007.
16	6. Defendants' answer to Plaintiffs' complaint is due July 23, 2007.
17	7. The parties agree that continued advancement of this litigation should await resolution of
18	the question of the appropriate venue, and therefore stipulate and propose to the Court that:
19	a. Defendants shall file an answer within thirty (30) days after the Courts' ruling on
20	the pending motion to transfer venue.
21	b. Defendants shall file the administrative record ¹ for the challenged decision within
22	thirty (30) days of the date the answer is filed.
23	8. The parties respectfully request that the deadlines established in the existing case
24	management orders be vacated pending resolution of Defendants' motion to transfer venue. See
25	Docket No. 1 (Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference) and Docket No. 21 (Case
26	

27

28

¹ This case is one seeking judicial review of an agency decision under the Administrative Procedure Act and will be adjudicated on an administrative record on the parties' cross motions for summary judgment.

1	Management Scheduling Order For Reassigned Civil Cases). Should the Court deny Defendants
2	motion to transfer venue, the parties will confer and, within fourteen (14) days of the Court's
3	order, shall submit a case management report and proposed schedule for resolution of this matter
4	9. The Forest Service agrees not to advertise timber sales or implement the on-the-ground
5	activities authorized by the challenged Kings River Project Record of Decision until the Court
6	rules on the pending motion to transfer venue, and will give Plaintiffs thirty (30) days notice
7	before beginning on-the-ground operations.
8	Respectfully submitted this 18 th day of July, 2007,
9	
0	RONALD J. TENPAS
1	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
2	/s/ Barclay Samford BARCLAY SAMFORD
3	Trial Attorney Natural Resources Section
4	Environment & Natural Resources Division
5	U.S. Department of Justice 1961 Stout Street - 8th Floor Denver, CO 80294
6	On behalf of Defendants
7	On behalf of Defendants
8	/s/ George M. Torgun GEORGE M. TORGUN, State Nar No. 222085
9	Earthjustice 426 17 th Street, 5 th Floor
20	Oakland, CA 94612
21	On behalf of Plaintiffs
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Document 22

Filed 07/18/2007